



MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

for the three and six months ended May 31, 2007

OSI Geospatial Inc.
107 – 930 West 1st Street
North Vancouver, BC V7P 3N4
Tel: 604.904.4600
Fax: 604.987.2555
www.osigeospatial.com

OSI Geospatial Inc.

Management's Discussion and Analysis
For the three and six months ended May 31, 2007
(expressed in U.S. dollars)

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion and analysis provides a review of activities, results of operations, and financial condition of OSI Geospatial Inc. for the three and six months ended May 31, 2007 in comparison with those for the six months ended May 31, 2006. The following discussion should be read in conjunction with our unaudited interim consolidated financial statements, including the notes thereto, for the three and six months ended May 31, 2007, and the audited annual consolidated financial statements for the year ended November 30, 2006 prepared in accordance United States generally accepted accounting principles ("U.S. GAAP").

Effective December 1, 2004, we elected to report our consolidated financial statements in accordance with U.S. GAAP as permitted by Canadian regulators. Effective March 1, 2006 we adopted the U.S. dollar as our reporting currency. All references in this report to financial information concerning OSI Geospatial Inc. are in accordance with U.S. GAAP and all dollar amounts are in U.S. dollars unless otherwise indicated.

Beginning in the first quarter of fiscal 2006, we have changed our financial reporting segments in order to reflect changes in management's analysis of our business. Our consolidated revenue categories have changed to marine systems, land and air systems, and mapping and our reportable segments are now U.S. Systems Operations, International Systems Operations, Mapping Operations, and Corporate and Public Company costs. On April 12, 2006 at the Company's annual general meeting, its shareholders voted in favor of changing the Company's name from Offshore Systems International Ltd. to OSI Geospatial Inc. The name change was effective June 5, 2006. For the purposes of this report, the Company is referred to by its new legal identity.

This report contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of securities laws including Section 27a of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21e of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, including statements regarding the future achievement of corporate objectives, advancement of additional project interests, analysis and development of acquisition opportunities, various project interests and other matters. These statements are neither promises nor guarantees, but involve known and unknown risks and uncertainties that may cause our actual results, levels of activity, performance or achievements to be materially different from any future results, levels of activity, performance or achievements expressed in or implied by these forward-looking statements. These risks include risks related to the effects of general economic conditions, changing foreign exchange rates, actions by government authorities, uncertainties associated with contract negotiations, and industry supply, as well as other factors discussed below and those risks which are discussed under the heading "Risks and Uncertainties". Readers should not place undue reliance on any such forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date they were made. We disclaim any obligation to publicly update or revise any such statements to reflect any change in our expectations or in events, conditions or circumstances on which any such statements may be based, or that may affect the likelihood that actual results will differ from those set forth in the forward-looking statements, except as required by law.

ECPINS and COP-IDS are registered trademarks of Offshore Systems Ltd., an OSI Geospatial company. Other company brand, product and service names are for identification purposes only and may be either trademarks, service marks or registered trademarks of their respective owners. Data is subject to change without notice.

Additional information relating to OSI Geospatial, including our Annual Information Form and our Form 20-F report is filed on SEDAR at www.sedar.com, on EDGAR at www.sec.gov, and is also available on the company's investor web site at www.osigeospatial.com.

This management's discussion and analysis is dated July 12, 2007.

© 2007 OSI Geospatial Inc. and its affiliated or related companies.

OSI Geospatial Inc.

Management's Discussion and Analysis
For the three and six months ended May 31, 2007
(expressed in U.S. dollars)

Overview

Founded in 1977 and headquartered in Ottawa, Ontario, Canada, the Company designs, develops and markets the proprietary ECPINS® line of electronic chart navigation systems for commercial and military customers. ECPINS® helps to reduce the risk of navigation-related incidents by giving bridge crews a precise, real-time display of their position, course and speed, against a background of fixed obstacles and other navigational hazards. The Company has developed a strong market position in military navigation, and has ECPINS® systems deployed with the Canadian and United States Coast Guards, the Canadian Navy, the Royal New Zealand Navy, the Royal Danish Navy, the Royal Australian Navy, the Royal Navy of the United Kingdom and the United States Navy.

The Company's growth strategy is built on four fronts – diversifying its technology, partnering with the best, building on its customer relationships and completing acquisitions complimentary to its existing lines of business.

In diversifying its technology, the Company will lever its market and technology position as a leader in the naval surface and subsurface navigation market to access adjacent markets. Building on its ECPINS® line of electronic chart navigation systems for commercial and military customers, the Company has expanded into the Command & Control (C2) and homeland security markets through the development of applications like the Common Operational Picture product line (COP-PL), Warship Automatic Identification System (W-AIS) and the C2 product line C3CORE. Also, the Company has expanded its product offerings in the commercial market with the introduction of its simplified voyage data recorder (S-VDR) product, the integrated ECPINS®/S-VDR 5000 system.

The Company continues to partner with the best using its technology dominance in the military navigation market to gain entry into major defence programs. We currently have partnering agreements with Terma A/S in Denmark, Lockheed Martin in the U.S. and the U.K. and, Raytheon, L3 Communications, General Dynamics and Northrop Grumman in the U.S.

The Company continues to build on its current customer base by leveraging the strong customer relationships it has developed through the successful execution of major projects. We have existing customers in the military agencies of Canada, U.S., European NATO and other allies of Canada, and national and international commercial marine transportation companies.

The Company has completed acquisitions complimentary to its existing businesses to gain access to key markets, build critical mass and expand its products and markets. In April 2005, the Company completed the acquisition of all the outstanding shares of Mapcon Mapping Consultants Inc. (Mapcon) of Salt Lake City, Utah for \$1.1 million. Mapcon is a land mapping company in the U.S. geomatics (digital map and electronic chart data production) market. In December 2005, the Company completed the acquisition of CHI Systems Inc., a United States defence contracting company for \$9 million. CHI has three offices in the United States, is a supplier of technology and services to the U.S. Department of Defence and key defence prime contractors. CHI has developed C2, training simulation and cognitive agent applications to support its customers in multiple U.S. military agencies. In April 2007, the Company through its subsidiary CHI Systems Inc., acquired the assets of Liddy International Inc. ("Liddy"), a United States defense contracting company and established Layered Security Solutions ("LSS"). LSS provides business continuity solutions against all hazards and is qualified to develop deterrence, response and recovery strategies designed to deal with global threats to business and government.

OSI Geospatial Inc.

Management's Discussion and Analysis
For the three and six months ended May 31, 2007
(expressed in U.S. dollars)

SELECTED DATA

The following tables contain financial information that is derived from the unaudited interim consolidated financial statements for the six months ended May 31, 2007.

Operations:	For the six months ended May 31	
	2007	2006
In thousands of U.S. dollars except share related data		
	\$	\$
Revenue	9,709	9,803
Gross profit	3,124	3,789
Gross profit percentage	32%	39%
Net loss	(2,502)	(1,232)
Net loss attributable to common shareholders	(3,219)	(2,120)
Loss per share – basic and diluted	(0.08)	(0.07)
Weighted average common shares outstanding – basic and diluted	38,248,619	31,790,928

Our quarterly results are primarily influenced by the level, timing, and duration of customer orders, and customer product delivery requirements. We depend heavily on government contracts and derive a significant amount of revenue from a few customers, which may result in varying revenue, gross profit, and earnings.

The lower gross profit and gross profit percentage in the six months ended May 31, 2007 as compared to the six months ended May 31, 2006 is largely due to the mix of revenue. There was less software revenue and more engineering services revenue in the six months ended May 31, 2007 than in the six months ended May 31, 2006.

Fluctuations in gross profit are influenced by the proportion of engineering labor, third-party systems or third-party labor or portions of all three required for a project, and a high proportion of these factors can result in increased cost of sales and therefore lower gross profit. Certain contracts awarded may require the inclusion of engineering labor, third-party systems or third-party labor. In order to maintain competitiveness on these contracts, we may elect to reduce our usual margins on the third-party components. In addition, the product mix of services revenue, software, mapping, and systems and systems components in any given period may impact the gross profit for the period.

Financial Position:	At May 31, 2007	At November 30, 2006
In thousands of U.S. dollars		
	\$	\$
Working capital ⁽¹⁾	5,265	7,789
Current assets	9,920	14,333
Total assets	20,136	24,345
Current liabilities	4,655	6,544
Long term liabilities	-	20
Total liabilities	4,655	6,564
Shareholders' equity	15,481	17,781

(1) Working capital is defined as current assets less current liabilities. Working capital does not have a standardized meaning or comparable measure under generally accepted accounting principles and may not be comparable to similar measures presented by other companies.

OSI Geospatial Inc.

Management's Discussion and Analysis
For the three and six months ended May 31, 2007
(expressed in U.S. dollars)

Dividends declared per share	In six months ended May 31,	
	2007	2006
In U.S. dollars	\$	\$
Common shares	-	-
Class A preference shares	-	-
Class B preference shares – series 1	-	-
Class B preference shares – series 2	1.64	1.59

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS – Three and six months ended May 31, 2007 as compared to three and six months ended May 31, 2006

Overall Performance

Three months ended May 31	2007	2006	2007 to 2006
In thousands of U.S. dollars			
Net income (loss) before income taxes	\$ (446)	\$ 94	\$ (540)
Net loss	\$ (480)	\$ (2)	\$ (478)
Net loss attributable to common shareholders	\$ (1,024)	\$ (715)	\$ (309)
Loss per share – basic and diluted	(0.03)	(0.02)	(0.01)
Six months ended May 31	2007	2006	2007 to 2006
In thousands of U.S. dollars			
Net loss before income taxes	\$ (2,363)	\$ (1,146)	\$ (1,217)
Net loss	\$ (2,502)	\$ (1,232)	\$ (1,270)
Net loss attributable to common shareholders	\$ (3,219)	\$ (2,121)	\$ (1,098)
Loss per share – basic and diluted	(0.08)	(0.07)	(0.01)

The net loss reported in the six months ended May 31, 2007 was largely driven by lower revenues and the mix of contracts executed in the period and higher operating expenses due to the addition of costs from our acquired operations, product launch activities, and an increase in staff to support our growth plans.

Backlog

Total backlog is the sum of the firm and option backlogs. As at May 31, 2007 total backlog was \$69.3 million compared to \$32.8 million at May 31, 2006.

Firm backlog consists of firm, fixed, or signed orders issued and executable subsequent to the balance sheet date. Firm backlog as at May 31, 2007 was at \$44.5 million compared to \$12.4 million at May 31, 2006. Of the \$44.5 million firm backlog, \$28.4 million is expected to be executed in fiscal 2009 and beyond.

OSI Geospatial Inc.

Management's Discussion and Analysis
For the three and six months ended May 31, 2007
(expressed in U.S. dollars)

Option backlog consists of unexercised contract options at the balance sheet date and indefinite-quantity contracts executable subsequent to the balance sheet date. Option backlog as at May 31, 2007 was at \$24.8 million compared to \$20.4 million at May 31, 2006.

There is no guarantee or certainty that our customers will elect to exercise their contract option backlog. Should our customers elect to exercise contract options or place orders against indefinite-quantity contracts, the option backlog converts to firm backlog, which then may convert to revenue as contract execution occurs. Backlog metrics are non-GAAP measures. These measures do not have a standardized meaning or comparable GAAP measure and are likely not comparable to similar measures presented by other companies.

The timing of major contracts awarded can significantly impact our total backlog position and revenue. Historically, major contracts awarded have taken up to three years to finalize. The contracting process involves lengthy discussions and negotiations with several groups of people within the prospective customer's organization. We have continually pursued, and will continue to pursue, major contracts with lengthy sales cycles, and as a result, there could be large variations in our total backlog and revenue from quarter to quarter.

Multi-year contracts with government agencies have a termination-for-convenience clause because governments approve budget expenditures on an annual basis. This allows contracts to be terminated by the contracting government agency should future budget funding not be approved. Historically, the termination-for-convenience clause has not been exercised by any of our customers. We have included the full value of these government contracts in total backlog.

Revenue

Three months ended May 31	2007	% of total revenue	2006	% of total revenue	2007 to 2006
In thousands of U.S. dollars					
Marine systems	\$ 2,504	41	\$ 2,436	40	\$ 68
Land and air systems	2,259	37	2,370	38	(111)
Mapping	1,375	22	1,334	22	41
	<u>\$ 6,138</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>\$ 6,140</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>\$ (2)</u>

Six months ended May 31	2007	% of total revenue	2006	% of total revenue	2007 to 2006
In thousands of U.S. dollars					
Marine systems	\$ 3,204	33	\$ 3,718	38	\$ (514)
Land and air systems	4,607	47	4,221	43	386
Mapping	1,898	20	1,863	19	35
	<u>\$ 9,709</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>\$ 9,802</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>\$ (93)</u>

Our core revenue stream is derived from three sources: marine systems, land and air systems, and mapping. Our land and air systems through our U.S. Systems operations delivered 47% of our revenue for the six months ended May 31, 2007. Our principal developed and manufactured product, ECPINS®, delivers the majority of the marine systems revenue. We also derive revenue from the delivery of the ECPINS® software component of our system product. Combined, ECPINS® systems and software delivered 33% of revenue in the six months ended May 31, 2007.

Our results are primarily affected by the level, timing, and duration of customer orders and customer product delivery requirements. The main customers for our products and services in the six months

OSI Geospatial Inc.

Management's Discussion and Analysis
For the three and six months ended May 31, 2007
(expressed in U.S. dollars)

ended May 31, 2007 were the U.S. Army, the Royal Navy of the U.K., the Canadian Department of National Defense, the Defense Threat Reduction Agency, and the U.S. Navy. Revenue from these customers accounted for 67% of the consolidated revenue.

The main customers for our products and services for the six months ended May 31, 2006 were the U.S. Air Force, U.S. Army, U.S. Naval Aviation, the Royal Australian Navy through Nautronix, and the Canadian Navy. Revenue from these customers accounted for 66% of the consolidated revenue.

Revenue by Segment

Three months ended May 31	2007	% of total revenue	2006	% of total revenue	2007 to 2006
In thousands of U.S. dollars					
International Systems operations	\$ 2,444	40	\$ 2,436	40	\$ 8
U.S. Systems operations	2,319	38	2,370	38	(51)
Mapping operations	1,375	22	1,334	22	41
	<u>\$ 6,138</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>\$ 6,140</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>\$ (2)</u>

Six months ended May 31	2007	% of total revenue	2006	% of total revenue	2007 to 2006
In thousands of U.S. dollars					
International Systems operations	\$ 3,144	32	\$ 3,718	38	\$ (574)
U.S. Systems operations	4,667	48	4,221	43	446
Mapping operations	1,898	20	1,863	19	35
	<u>\$ 9,709</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>\$ 9,802</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>\$ (93)</u>

Revenue from the International Systems operations for the three months ended May 31, 2007 increased marginally and for the six months ended May 31, 2007 decreased 15% due to the timing of new contracts awarded, the delivery schedules of existing contracts and the mix of products and services delivered.

Revenue from the U.S. Systems operations for the three months ended May 31, 2007 decreased by 2% and for the six months ended May 31, 2007 increased 11% over the same period from the prior year. Delays in the approval of the U.S. federal budget caused a reduction of revenues in the three months ended May 31, 2007.

Revenue from our Mapping operations for the three and six months ended May 31, 2007 as compared to the six months ended May 31, 2006 increased 3% and 2% respectively. This is consistent with the nature and timing of mapping contracts.

We continue to invest significant corporate, sales, and marketing resources in identifying and pursuing new opportunities and contracts, both in our existing customer base and with new prospective customers.

Gross Profit

Three months ended May 31	2007	2006	2007 to 2006
In thousands of U.S. dollars			
Gross profit	\$ 2,455	\$ 2,595	\$ (140)
Gross profit percentage	40%	42%	(2)%

OSI Geospatial Inc.

Management's Discussion and Analysis
For the three and six months ended May 31, 2007
(expressed in U.S. dollars)

Six months ended May 31	2007	2006	2007 to 2006
In thousands of U.S. dollars			
Gross profit	\$ 3,124	\$ 3,788	\$ (664)
Gross profit percentage	32%	39%	(7)%

Gross profit decreased by 5% in the three months ended May 31, 2007 compared to the three months ended May 31, 2006 and the gross profit percentage was 2 percentage points lower period-over-period. Gross profit decreased 17% in the six months ended May 31, 2007. The reduced gross profit percentage compared to the prior fiscal year is due to the mix of contracts in the period.

Gross Profit by Segment

Three months ended May 31	2007	2006	2007 to 2006
In thousands of U.S. dollars			
Gross profit:			
International Systems operations	\$ 1,547	\$ 1,614	\$ (67)
U.S. Systems operations	444	544	(100)
Mapping operations	464	437	27
Consolidated operations	<u>\$ 2,455</u>	<u>\$ 2,595</u>	<u>\$ (140)</u>
Gross profit percentage:			
International Systems operations	63%	66%	(3)%
U.S. Systems operations	19%	23%	(4)%
Mapping operations	34%	33%	1%
Consolidated operations	<u>40%</u>	<u>42%</u>	<u>(2)%</u>

Six months ended May 31	2007	2006	2007 to 2006
In thousands of U.S. dollars			
Gross profit:			
International Systems operations	\$ 1,584	\$ 2,293	\$ (709)
U.S. Systems operations	964	967	(3)
Mapping operations	576	528	48
Consolidated operations	<u>\$ 3,124</u>	<u>\$ 3,788</u>	<u>\$ (684)</u>
Gross profit percentage:			
International Systems operations	50%	60%	(10)%
U.S. Systems operations	21%	23%	(2)%
Mapping operations	30%	28%	2%
Consolidated operations	<u>32%</u>	<u>39%</u>	<u>(7)%</u>

OSI Geospatial Inc.

Management's Discussion and Analysis
For the three and six months ended May 31, 2007
(expressed in U.S. dollars)

Gross profit from the International Systems operations decreased \$67,000 and gross profit percentage was 3% lower for the three months ended May 31, 2007 when compared to the three months ended May 31, 2006. Gross profit decreased \$709,000 and gross profit percentage decreased 10% for the six months ended May 31, 2007. The decreases in the second quarter of 2007 are the result of lower revenues in the International Systems operations and a decrease in our high margin software revenue compared to the three months ended May 31, 2006.

Gross profit from the U.S. Systems operations decreased \$100,000 and gross profit percentage was 4% lower for the three months ended May 31, 2007 when compared to the three months ended May 31, 2006. Gross profit decreased \$3,000 and gross profit percentage decreased 9% for the six months ended May 31, 2007. This decrease was due to lower margins in the three and six months ended May 31, 2007 compared to the three and six months ended May 31, 2006 as the result of the mix of contracts for the periods.

Gross profit from the Mapping operations for the three months ended May 31, 2007 increased \$27,000 compared to the same in the prior year. Gross profit percentage increased one percentage point period over period, consistent with the mix of contracts in the business unit. Gross profit from the Mapping operations for the six months ended May 31, 2007 increased \$48,000 compared to the same period in the prior year. Gross profit percentage increased 2%. This is consistent with the mix of contracts for the period.

Operating Expenses

Three months ended May 31	2007	% of total revenue	2006	% of total revenue	2007 to 2006
In thousands of U.S. dollars					
General and administrative	\$ 1,476	24%	\$ 1,514	25%	\$ (38)
Engineering	389	6%	450	7%	(61)
Sales and marketing	791	13%	606	10%	185
Six months ended May 31	2007	% of total revenue	2006	% of total revenue	2007 to 2006
In thousands of U.S. dollars					
General and administrative	\$ 2,798	29%	\$ 2,860	29%	\$ (62)
Engineering	870	9%	993	10%	(123)
Sales and marketing	1,496	15%	1,158	12%	338

General and administrative ("G&A") expenses consist mainly of salaries and benefits of management and administrative personnel, professional fees, public company expenses, related facility costs, and other general administrative expenses.

G&A decreased by 2% for three months ended May 31, 2007 and also by 2% for the six months ended May 31, 2007, compared to the same periods in the prior year. There was little change in G&A staffing levels and costs between the two periods.

Engineering expenses consist mainly of salaries and benefits of software and hardware engineering personnel, sub-contractor costs, facilities expenses, and related expenses. We expense research and development-related engineering costs in the period incurred.

Engineering costs decreased by 13% for the three months ended May 31, 2007, compared to the three months ended May 31, 2006, and by 12% for the six months ended May 31, 2007, compared to the six months ended May 31, 2006. The decreases are related to lower staff levels and expenses for the

OSI Geospatial Inc.

Management's Discussion and Analysis
For the three and six months ended May 31, 2007
(expressed in U.S. dollars)

period. We believe that in order to maintain our technological leadership, we must continue to develop existing products and introduce innovative new products that challenge and redefine the industry standards.

Sales and marketing ("S&M") expenses consist primarily of compensation of sales and marketing personnel, as well as expenses associated with advertising, trade shows, facilities, and other expenses related to the sales and marketing of our products and services.

S&M expenses increased by 30% and 29% for the three and six months ended May 31, 2007 compared to the three and six months ended May 31, 2006. The increases in S&M expenses are primarily due to additional sales and marketing emphasis. We believe our current level of S&M staff continues to allow us to pursue business development activities relating to our expansion efforts targeting new and existing customers in the military agencies of Canada, the U.S., European NATO, and other allies of Canada, and national and international commercial marine transportation companies. To increase our profile and our products, and to broaden our customer base in international markets, we have established marketing agreements with companies local to the targeted regions. Some of these activities will not result in closing orders during the current year but may yield orders in subsequent fiscal years.

Depreciation and Amortization

Three months ended May 31	2007	2006	2007 to 2006
In thousands of U.S. dollars			
Depreciation and amortization	\$ 84	\$ 201	\$ (117)
Six months ended May 31	2007	2006	2007 to 2006
In thousands of U.S. dollars			
Depreciation and amortization	\$ 247	\$ 388	\$ (141)

Total depreciation and amortization decreased by 58% and 36% on the three and six months ended May 31, 2007 as compared to the three and six months ended May 31, 2006. For the three months ended May 31, 2007 and 2006, portion of the depreciation, \$50,000 and \$63,000 respectively, was included in cost of sales for equipment used in revenue-generating activities.

Interest expense

Three months ended May 31	2007	2006	2007 to 2006
In thousands of U.S. dollars			
Interest expense	\$ 5	\$ 6	\$ (1)
Six months ended May 31	2007	2006	2007 to 2006
In thousands of U.S. dollars			
Interest expense	\$ 27	\$ 12	\$ 15

Interest expense decreased 17% in the three months ended May 31, 2007 as compared to the three months ended May 31, 2006. Interest expense increased 125% in the six months ended May 31, 2007 as compared to the six months ended May 31, 2006. The Company utilized its credit facility during the three and six months ended May 31, 2007.

OSI Geospatial Inc.

Management's Discussion and Analysis
For the three and six months ended May 31, 2007
(expressed in U.S. dollars)

Interest income

Three months ended May 31	2007	2006	2007 to 2006
In thousands of U.S. dollars			
Interest income	\$ 8	\$ 7	\$ 1
Six months ended May 31	2007	2006	2007 to 2006
In thousands of U.S. dollars			
Interest income	\$ 8	\$ 20	\$ (12)

Interest income increased 14% in the three months ended May 31, 2007 as compared to the three months ended May 31, 2006. Interest income decreased 60% in the six months ended May 31, 2007 as compared to the six months ended May 31, 2006 as the Company did not have surplus funds to invest.

Foreign Exchange

Three months ended May 31	2007	2006	2007 to 2006
In thousands of U.S. dollars			
Foreign exchange loss (gain)	\$ 107	\$ (21)	\$ 128
Six months ended May 31	2007	2006	2007 to 2006
In thousands of U.S. dollars			
Foreign exchange loss (gain)	\$ 22	\$ -	\$ 22

Foreign exchange gain and loss is largely the result of exchange rate movements of the Australian dollar and the UK pound which were not in the Company's favour during the six months ended May 31, 2007 as compared to May 31, 2006.

Technology Partnerships Canada

Three months ended May 31	2007	2006	2007 to 2006
In thousands of U.S. dollars			
Royalty	\$ 107	\$ 108	\$ (1)
Contribution	-	(293)	293
Six months ended May 31	2007	2006	2007 to 2006
In thousands of U.S. dollars			
Royalty	\$ 137	\$ 165	\$ (28)
Contribution	-	(504)	504

As part of the agreement entered into on November 15, 1999 with TPC, we are required to pay a royalty of 3% on annual gross revenue in our subsidiary Offshore Systems Ltd. for the period December 1, 1999 to November 30, 2008. In addition, as part of the agreement entered into on April 26, 2004, we are required to pay a royalty of 1.4% on annual gross revenue in our subsidiary Offshore Systems for the period January 1, 2006 to December 31, 2008 and a royalty of 2.5% on annual gross revenue for the period January 1, 2009 to December 31, 2013.

The company entered into two agreements with Technology Partnerships Canada ("TPC") whereby TPC granted financial assistance for the purpose of funding research and development activities which were completed on March 31, 2007. The contributions we received were based on the eligible expenditures we

OSI Geospatial Inc.

Management's Discussion and Analysis
For the three and six months ended May 31, 2007
(expressed in U.S. dollars)

made during each period. As at August 31, 2006 the Company has received the entire \$2.9 million of the eligible TPC contributions.

Income Taxes

Three months ended May 31	2007	2006	2007 to 2006
In thousands of U.S. dollars			
Deferred income tax expense (recovery)	\$ 59	\$ (23)	\$ 82
Current income tax expense (recovery)	(25)	119	(144)
	<u>34</u>	<u>96</u>	<u>(62)</u>
Six months ended May 31			
In thousands of U.S. dollars			
Deferred income tax expense (recovery)	\$ 73	\$ (8)	\$ 81
Current income tax expense (recovery)	66	94	(28)
	<u>139</u>	<u>86</u>	<u>53</u>

Based on the information available at the time of the issue of the interim financial statements for the three and six months ended May 31, 2007, we estimated that we would not have sufficient taxable earnings in Canada in future periods to utilize a portion of our \$1.6 million Canadian non-capital losses carried forward, \$6.6 million scientific research and experimental development costs and other Canadian tax balances.

We have recognized deferred tax expense in the three months ended May 31, 2007 related to temporary timing differences between accounting income and income for tax purposes in our U.S. subsidiaries as we believe that it is more likely than not that these differences will be realized in future fiscal years. In accordance with U.S. GAAP, we provided a valuation allowance against deferred tax assets where realization did not meet the requirements of "more likely than not" under the liability method of tax allocation. We continue to evaluate our taxable position quarterly and consider factors such as estimated taxable income, the history of losses for tax purposes, and the growth of the Company, among others.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES - May 31, 2007 compared to November 30, 2006

In thousands of U.S. dollars	May 31, 2007	November 30, 2006	2007 to 2006
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Current assets	9,920	14,333	(4,413)
Current liabilities	4,655	6,544	(1,889)
Working capital ⁽¹⁾	5,265	7,789	(2,524)

⁽¹⁾ Working capital is defined as current assets less current liabilities. Working capital does not have a standardized meaning or comparable measure under generally accepted accounting principles and may not be comparable to similar measures presented by other companies.

We strive to maintain cash-contributing profitable operations that provide an adequate liquidity and capital resource base for growth. We believe that cash flow from operating activities, together with lines of credit borrowings of Canadian \$2.0 million and US \$600,000 available under our revolving credit facilities, will be sufficient to fund operations and to provide for needed capital spending for the next 12 months. We expect cash flow from operations will continue to improve during the year as scheduled contract milestone payments will be made on several contracts.

OSI Geospatial Inc.

Management's Discussion and Analysis
For the three and six months ended May 31, 2007
(expressed in U.S. dollars)

At May 31, 2007 our current assets and working capital decreased primarily due to a reduction in accounts receivable.

We have credit facilities consisting of an operating line and forward exchange contract facilities. The credit facilities permit us to borrow funds directly for general corporate purposes, including acquisitions, at floating rates. At May 31, 2007, we had borrowings of \$728,000 against our operating line. During the three months ended May 31, 2007, we utilized the facility which increased interest expense for the period. We utilized our forward exchange contract facility to reduce our exposure to exchange rate movements.

Cash Flows

Three months ended May 31	2007	2006	2007 to 2006
In thousands of U.S. dollars			
Cash flows provided by (used in):			
Operating activities	\$ 411	\$ 1,021	\$ (610)
Investing activities	(121)	(293)	172
Financing activities	(261)	(520)	(259)
Impact of foreign exchange on cash balances	(29)	62	(94)
Six months ended May 31	2007	2006	2007 to 2006
In thousands of U.S. dollars			
Cash flows provided by (used in):			
Operating activities	\$ 928	\$ 1,930	\$ (1,002)
Investing activities	(170)	(8,333)	8,163
Financing activities	(738)	(520)	(218)
Impact of foreign exchange on cash balances	(20)	120	(140)

Cash flows provided by operating activities for the three months ended May 31, 2007 were the result of a decrease in accounts receivables and prepaid expenses.

Cash flows used in investing activities for the three months ended May 31, 2007 were primarily reflective of additions to plant and equipment, intangibles and other assets.

Cash flows used in financing activities for the three months ended May 31, 2007 were the result of a decrease in the operating line of credit and the semi-annual dividends on our Class B preference shares.

Cash flows provided by operating activities for the six months ended May 31, 2007 were largely the result of a decrease in accounts receivable.

Cash flows used in investing activities for the six months ended May 31, 2007 were primarily reflective of additions to plant and equipment, intangibles and other assets.

Cash flows used in financing activities for the six months ended May 31, 2007 were the result of an increase in the operating line of credit and the semi-annual dividends on our Class B preference shares.

As a result of the above mentioned changes, the line of credit utilization increased by \$166,000 for the three months ended May 31, 2007, and decreased by \$360,000 for the six months ended May 31, 2007.

In order to reduce the impact of U.S. dollar, Australian dollar, euro and British pound to Canadian dollar exchange rate fluctuations, for our Canadian operations we have used the forward exchange contract

OSI Geospatial Inc.

Management's Discussion and Analysis
For the three and six months ended May 31, 2007
(expressed in U.S. dollars)

facility. We use the forward exchange contract facility to mitigate any foreign exchange gain or loss that might occur between the U.S. and Canadian dollar, the Australian to Canadian dollar, British pound to Canadian dollar and the euro to Canadian dollar. We use the forward exchange contract facility only for known or reasonably certain future U.S. and Australian dollar, British pound and euro transactions. At May 31, 2007 we had not entered into any forward exchange contracts,

There can be no assurance that we will have adequate financial resources, financing, or cash flows to support the Company into the future.

CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS

Payments due by period	Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 5 years	After 5 years
In thousands of U.S. dollars					
Facility leases	\$ 4,121	\$ 664	\$ 1,205	\$ 992	\$ 1,260
Equipment leases	81	33	38	10	-
Total contractual obligations	<u>\$ 4,202</u>	<u>\$ 697</u>	<u>\$ 1,243</u>	<u>\$ 1,002</u>	<u>\$ 1,260</u>

OFF BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS

Guarantees

The Company has entered into a one year guarantee for one of the Company's subsidiaries, CHI Systems Inc. The Company has guaranteed CHI's bank line of credit that was put in place by the previous owners prior to OSI Geospatial's acquisition of CHI. The maximum potential amount of future payments the Company could be required to make under this guarantee is \$600,000. At May 31, 2007, the carrying amount of the liability was nil.

We warrant that our software and hardware products will operate substantially in conformity with product documentation and that the physical media will be free from defect. The specific terms and conditions of the warranties are generally one year but may vary depending on the country in which the products are sold. We accrue for known warranty issues if a loss is probable and can be reasonably estimated, and accrue for estimated incurred but unidentified warranty issues based on historical activity. To date, we have had no material warranty claims.

TRANSACTIONS WITH RELATED PARTIES

In the periods being reported, the Company had no related party transactions.

PROPOSED TRANSACTIONS

We are not currently engaged in any proposed transactions.

OSI Geospatial Inc.

Management's Discussion and Analysis
For the three and six months ended May 31, 2007
(expressed in U.S. dollars)

SELECTED QUARTERLY DATA

In thousands of U.S. dollars

For the three months ended	May 31 2007	February 28 2007	November 30 2006	August 31 2006
Revenue	\$ 6,138	\$ 3,571	\$ 10,018	\$ 4,316
Gross profit	2,455	669	5,783	1,403
Gross profit percentage	40%	19%	58%	32%
Net earnings (loss)	(480)	(2,022)	2,691	(766)
Net earnings (loss) attributable to common shareholders	(1,024)	(2,195)	2,249	(953)
Loss per share – basic	(0.03)	(0.06)	0.07	(0.03)
Loss per share – diluted	(0.03)	(0.06)	(0.01)	(0.03)

For the three months ended	May 31 2006	February 28 2006	November 30 2005	August 31 2005
Revenue	\$ 6,140	\$ 3,662	\$ 5,137	\$ 2,618
Gross profit	2,595	1,193	2,636	1,472
Gross profit percentage	42%	33%	51%	56%
Net earnings (loss)	(2)	(1,229)	(872)	(308)
Net earnings (loss) attributable to common shareholders	(715)	(1,405)	(2,594)	(1,026)
Loss per share – basic	(0.02)	(0.04)	(0.09)	(0.04)
Loss per share – diluted	(0.02)	(0.04)	(0.15)	(0.08)

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

These items are substantially unchanged as discussed in the Company's MD&A for the year ended November 30, 2006 as contained in our 2006 Annual Report filed on SEDAR at www.sedar.com or our annual Form 20-F report filed on EDGAR at www.sec.gov.

RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In June 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued FASB Interpretation No. 48 - Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes ("FIN 48"). FIN 48 clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in an enterprise's financial statements in accordance with FASB Statement No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes. FIN 48 prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. This Interpretation also provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure, and transition. This Interpretation is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. The Company has not completed its analysis of the adoption of FIN 48 at this time.

In September 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standard 157, Fair Value Measurements ("SFAS 157"). SFAS 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP"), and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. This Statement applies under other accounting pronouncements that require or permit fair value measurements, the Board having previously concluded in those accounting pronouncements that fair value is the relevant measurement attribute. Accordingly, this Statement does not require any new fair value measurements. However, the application of this

OSI Geospatial Inc.

Management's Discussion and Analysis
For the three and six months ended May 31, 2007
(expressed in U.S. dollars)

Statement will change current practice, effective December 1, 2007. The adoption of SFAS 157 is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In February, 2007 the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standard 159, The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities – Including an amendment of FASB Statement No. 115 ("SFAS 159"). SFAS 159 permits entities to choose to measure many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value. The objective is to improve financial reporting by providing entities with the opportunity to mitigate volatility in reported earnings caused by measuring related assets and liabilities differently without having to apply complex hedge accounting provisions. This Statement is expected to expand the use of fair value measurement, which is consistent with the Board's long-term measurement objectives for accounting for financial instruments. At this time, the Company does not intent to use the fair value option allowed in SFAS 159. The adoption of SFAS 159 will not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS AND OTHER INSTRUMENTS

Foreign Exchange Forward Contracts

The Company's Canadian subsidiaries use foreign exchange forward contracts to reduce their exposure on transactions denominated in U.S. dollars, Australian dollars, British pounds and euros. The purpose of these contracts is to reduce the level of exposure to exchange rate movements. At May 31, 2007, we had not entered into any foreign exchange forward contracts.

Derivative financial instruments are utilized by the Company to reduce our exposure to fluctuations in foreign exchange on certain committed and anticipated transactions. Derivatives are recorded as assets or liabilities, measured at fair value. Derivatives instruments are adjusted to fair value through income or loss.

DISCLOSURE OF OUTSTANDING SHARE DATA

As at June 30, 2007, we had 40,088,221 issued and outstanding common shares and 3,174,916 outstanding stock options. As at June 30, 2007, we also had 30,262 issued and outstanding class A preference shares and 200,770 issued and outstanding class B preference shares series 2 convertible into common shares at conversion ratios of 1:1 and 1:58.82353 respectively which represents 11,840,262 common shares. Also at June 30, 2007, we had 13,788,365 outstanding common share purchase warrants convertible to common shares at a conversion ratio of 1:1, representing 13,788,365 common shares.

DISCLOSURE CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

The Company maintained a set of disclosure controls and procedures during the three and six months ended May 31, 2007 designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in provincial securities legislation as defined under Multilateral Instrument 52-109. The Chief Executive Officer and the Vice President Finance have determined that there were no changes to the company's disclosure controls and procedures during the three and six month period ended May 31, 2007 that have materially affected, or are likely to materially affect the design and operation of these disclosure controls and procedures.

INTERNAL CONTROLS OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

The Company maintains internal controls over financial reporting which have been designed to provide reasonable assurance of the reliability of external financial reporting in accordance with US GAAP as required by Multilateral Instrument 52-109. The Chief Executive Officer and the Vice President Finance,

OSI Geospatial Inc.

Management's Discussion and Analysis

For the three and six months ended May 31, 2007

(expressed in U.S. dollars)

along with other employees to the extent considered necessary and appropriate, have designed internal controls over financial reporting that are effective as at May 31, 2007.

Nonetheless, the Chief Executive Officer and the Vice President Finance have identified areas where the Company can enhance process controls and they intend to incorporate such enhancements into the internal controls over financial reporting during the current fiscal year. The Company employs entity level controls to compensate for any deficiencies that may exist. Under 52-109, the Chief Executive Officer and the Vice President Finance are not required to, and have not yet tested the actual effectiveness of the Company's internal controls over financial reporting.

There were no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting that occurred since the beginning of the Company's current fiscal year to the date of this document that have materially affected, or are likely to materially affect the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

RISKS AND UNCERTAINTIES

The primary risks and uncertainties that affect and may affect the Company and its business, financial condition and results of operations are substantially unchanged as discussed in the company's MD&A for the year ended November 30, 2006 as contained in our 2006 Annual Report filed on SEDAR at www.sedar.com or our annual Form 20-F which will be reported on EDGAR at www.sec.gov.